

What did stakeholders say about: **TIME SCHEDULE?**

**A hurried cleanup will likely become an irrevocable mistake.** (cc.2) Santa Susana Mountain Park Association (SSMPA)

... [T]he current NASA schedule for completing the EIS and issuing the Record of Decision (ROD) ... **will not allow for enough time to develop adequate mitigation measures with opportunity for public comment.** (sc.4) Los Angeles-Ventura Cultural Research Alliance (LanVen)

Given the schedule NASA has for adoption of the ROD and the limits of current cultural resource identification ..., a **resolution of adverse effects** that would meet minimum standards ... **cannot be reasonably achieved.** (7.1) State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO)

Does this lack of a realistic schedule not call into question the feasibility of the AOC-mandated completion date of **2017?** (1.d) SSMPA

**We urge the agencies to extend the deadlines and clarify or renegotiate the agreements.** (7) Resource Conservation District of the Santa Monica Mountains (RCDSMM)

[T]he target date for completion of the cleanup must be extended. The current target date of **2017 has become unrealistic.** (cc.3) SSMPA

... SHPO recommends ... discussions about extending the timeline ... (7.3) SHPO

**A revised target date of 2020 will permit meaningful evaluation, compliant with NEPA and CEQA processes, of multiple, reasonable cleanup alternatives and their impacts.** (cc.4) SSMPA