

What did stakeholders say about: **END USE OF PROPERTY?**

The dismissal of land use as a topic does not correlate with the ... Need statement to prepare the property to support future disposition. (T1.49) National Park Service (NPS)

Consideration of the possible end use of the property as a park should be incorporated in the preservation decisions. (2.j) Santa Susana Mountain Park Association (SSMPA)

...fully disclose the site's current and potential future role in the region's ecology and recreation. (2) (NPS)

[The property must be left in a state that is suitable for some end-use. (6) Resource Conservation District of the Santa Monica Mountains (RCDSMM)

... should address land use as an impact topic. (6) (NPS)

... [NASA] should take into account both the cleanup activities and disposition of the property rather than falsely contend that they are separate and unrelated activities. (3.4) State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO)

It is critical to illustrate the parkland setting to more accurately disclose the project's potential for impacts to natural and cultural resources beyond the boundaries of SSFL and the NASA portion... (2) (NPS)

The NPS Rim of the Valley Corridor Special Resource Study is not referenced. (6) (NPS)

... [NASA's] plan does not recognize the expected eventual use of the NASA land as open area which only requires cleanup to risk based levels. (1.6) West Hills Neighborhood Council (WHNC)

... [I]t is important not to divorce end use from cleanup, and ... both should be considered together in one joint-agency EIS/EIR document. (5) Los Angeles-Ventura Cultural Research Alliance (LanVen)

NPS finds the resulting landscape from cleanup to background needs to consider impacts to the management goals and objectives of surrounding park agencies. (7) (NPS)

It appears that total demolition to facilitate disposal is a discretionary decision on NASA's part (3.3) (SHPO)

The disclosure of the project's indirect impacts on future land use is critical to NPS's or other agencies' decision-making tree to pursue acquisition of the NASA property. (T1.49) (NPS)

If [100%] demolition is proposed as part of the "preparation for transfer," then the DEIS should be jointly prepared with GSA and consider the end use of the property ... (22) (LanVen)

... [R]emoval of soils and topography and replacing with a fraction of "backfill", and removal of the modern era historic structures, and protection of only "artifacts" found, will limit who will take interest in assuming ownership. (6) (RCDSMM)

[L]osing the site to development is a potential unintended consequence of look-up tables and timelines rather than Purpose and Need driving the choice [of] reasonable alternative approaches to cleanup. (6) RCDSMM

We ... support an end use as parkland or open space for the entire SSFL property. (SC1) (LanVen)